

MAY. 27. 2008 4:09PM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 460 P. 1

OK TO ENTER: /C.H./

MAY 27 2008

07/21/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/572,710 Confirmation No.: 4697
Applicant(s): Zhu et al.
Filed: 03/17/2006
Art Unit: 2618
Examiner: Hannon, Christian A.
Title: A Mobile Cellular Telephone with a Display that is
Controlled Partly by an Incline Sensor

Attorney Docket No.: 884A.0129.U1(US)
Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 03/28/2008 in regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 15-23, and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinckley et al. (Research Publication ACM UIST 2000 Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology, "Sensing Techniques for Mobile Interaction", CHI Letters vol. 2, 2, pp. 91-100). Claims 10 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinckley in view Kalinski et al. (US 2003/0174307). The examiner is requested to reconsider these rejections.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA 1974).